## DWINATIGUAL TRAVEL

## Comptroller General Decisions

treveling expenses to and from Verblagton of persons requested by the Territ' Consisting to come to Sentington for persons requested by the Territ' Consisting to come to Sentington for personal conference regarding their qualifications for employment to organis, such as one excepted by les from civil service rules and regulations, are a proper charge against the appropriations of that Consistion. (There were no special provisions in the appropriation set for the tariff consistion.)

## The Comptroller General atalesis

Commission from information proviously chimined that the prospective employees were experts whose qualifications would be useful in the proper execution of the work of the Commission, and accordingly they were requested to come to be maintaged for a personal interview with the express condition that they were to be reinformed their tenseling expenses to be reinformed their tenseling expenses to be being the did not remain in Mashington and return home if they did not remain in Mashington as employees. The wanters indicate that the expenses for positions did not remain in Mashington as employees.

"It is understood that those persons who were reinforced their traveling expenses were within the class of 'experts' whose exployment has been excepted by law from the civil-cervice raiss and regulations under which the qualifications of prospective exployment are determined from written application and exemples are determined from written application and exemples in the nature of the work authorized to be performed by the Bariff Commission it can be readily appreciated that a personnal interview or contact with the prospective exployer of the expert class may be essential to insure the most efficient personnal for the particular was contaminated."

2. 15 Comp. Com. 206, 13 September 1935 (D-64390): (Renderce)
"A person who purforms travel for the purpose of consulting with the
tend of a department as to his qualifications, and who is later appointed, in
not extitled to a per dies allowance either in lieu of subsistence or
as eslary, for the period from date of consultation to the date preceding
the effective date of the appointment (None. Gen. 990 distinguished)."

In this case, which involved the Department of Agriculture, subject one brought into Machington for the purpose of consulting with the Decretary as to his qualifications for expointment as Assistant

to the Secretary." The case had all the requirements of 3 Comp. Gen. 590 except that this individual arrived in Washington on May 3, and the voucher was submitted covering pendiem from May 3 to May 16, the date of his appointment. The Comptroller General disallowed this per diem on the basis that it was "an attempt to give the employee the salary of the position from May 3, the date he arrived in Washington, instead of from May 16, the effective date of his appointment." "In lieu of all other expenses including transportation and subsistence, he was allowed a per diem of \$15.56 for a period not exceeding 15 days.... The per diem allowance . . . is the equivalent of one day's compensation at \$5600, the salary of the position \*o which (subject) was subsequently appointed."

"The function of accertaining the qualifications of prospective employees to hold positions subject to the Civil Service laws and regulations is a matter within the jurisdiction of the Civil Service Commission, and therefore, Government agencies may not pay the travel or subsistence expenses of individuals incurred for the pumpose of determining their qualifications to hold such positions; however, prospective employees who are to be appointed to positions excepted from the Civil Service laws and regulations and the Classification Act, may be transported to the place of employment for interviews before hiring.

"Government agencies may not pay the travel and subsistence expenses of individuals to the appropriate headquarters office for the purpose of interesting or persuading them to accept Government positions."

This is a short decision clearly stating the rule; consequently, it is quoted in its entirety.

"Reference is made to your letter of October 16, 1951, requesting decision upon the questions stated in your letter, as follows:

- "1. (a) May the Department (of Agriculture) pay the travel and subsistence expenses of individuals who are reached on Civil Service registers in order to interview them at the appropriate headquarters office; (b) may such expenses be paid when there is no Civil Service register; and (c) may such expenses be paid in those cases where individuals have Civil Service status and are eligible for a Civil-Service appointment without examination.
- "2. May the Department pay the travel and subsistence expenses of individuals to the appropriate headquarters office for the purpose of interesting them in employment in the Department?



"This Office is fully more of the difficulties attendent upon the procurement of qualified personnel for extentific and administrative positions not only in your department but in many other Corespondent departments and approise. Rosever, it has been a general rule that Government associate may not pay or redsburge an individual for the expenses incurred in traveling to Vashington, D. C., or to any other point for determination of the qualifications to hold a Covernment position if the position is to be filled misject to the Civil Service less and regulations as the function of ascertaining the qualifications of prospective emigrous is a matter within the Assistation of the Civil Dervice Countesion. Bowever, where prospective employees are to be appointed to positions excepted from the Civil Service loss end regulations and the Classification Act, it has been held that the prospective exployeds could be transported to the place of emilement for intervious before Mining. 3 Comp. Gen. 570; 15 16. 806. I do not find that the question of providing transportation to an individual for the purpose of persuadice him to secept a Corecement position has bereinfore been made the subject of a decision by this Office.

"In view of the foregoing, and laving report for the fact that the character of an expanditure such as that covered by your second question is extraordinary and unusual, and cince it is understool that efforts by your department to detain legislation to suffering the class of expanditures covered by our first question by mount of an examinant to the Granute Act of 19th, approved September 21, 19th, 95 Stat. 75th, has not up to the present time, been forereally considered, I feel restanted - without logislation providing either in general or specific beams sufferity for incurring the classes of expanditures here involved - to sense both questions in the populative."

- in 3. Comp. Com. WW., 25 Herem 1952: (Sendence) "Under the Act for International Development and the Antual Security Act of 1950, prospective exployees for positions specifically excepted from the competitive civil service for the Department of Agriculture's Point IV notivities in fearing excess may be reinforced traveling expenses incurred incident to reporting for pre-exployment interviews to determine their qualifications for each positions when administratively determined that said expenses are essential in excertaining on individual's qualifications and adaptebility for employment in a particular area.
- 5. 34 Comp. Gen. 114, 2 September 1954 (B-120707): (Reschate) "Departments and assenties may not reinforce individuals for expenses incident to travel from one place to emother for purpose of determining qualifications for a position with the Covernment if the position is emblect to the Civil Service rules and regulations and the Cleanification act of 1949 so that an Internal Service explorer may not be reinforced for travel and substatence expenses incurred for the purpose of taking a Civil Service examination to determine his qualifications for explorant so an Internal Persons Apart."

6. 34 Comp. Gen. 435, 16 Merch 1955, is a reconsideration of the foregoing on the busis of additional facts provided: (Readoute) "Where the travel of an internal favorum Dervice englopes for the purpose of taking a non-compatitive written examination for position of agent is shown to have been required by the Internal favorum Service rather than the Civil Service Commission, and the Internal Favorum Service dates then the Civil Service Commission, and the Internal Favorum Service dates than the time and place, designates the persons to participate, and thereafter dates have be persons who meet the qualifications and are cligible for reassignment or promotion, such travel is considered to be in the interest of the Covernment rather than for the boughit of the exployer and, therefore, expendes may be paid by the Government. (34 Comp. Sen. 114, amplified)